



DICOM Secretariat/MITA

1300 North 17th Street, Suite  
900

Arlington, VA 22209, USA

<http://www.dicomstandard.org/>

[dicom@dicomstandard.org](mailto:dicom@dicomstandard.org)

## Minutes

<b><u>MEETING NAME</u></b>	WG-14	
<b><u>MEETING PLACE</u></b>	Online	
<b><u>DATE &amp; TIME</u></b>	Tuesday, June 28, 2022, 9:00-10:00 AM EDT	
<b><u>PRESIDING OFFICERS</u></b>	Lawrence Tarbox, CHAIR, AAPM/Univ. of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Rob Horn, CHAIR, Fairhaven Technologies	
<b><u>VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT</u></b>	AAPM/Univ. of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Fairhaven Technologies GE Healthcare JAHIS/Fujifilm JIRA	Tarbox, Lawrence Horn, Rob Nichols, Steve, Alt-Voting Murata, Masao Yomoda, Akihiro
<b><u>VOTING MEMBERS ABSENT</u></b>	Agfa HealthCare Inc. American College of Radiology Canon Medical Systems USA, Inc. Center for Medical Device Standardization Administration, CFDA Fairhaven Technology Hologic Inc. Konica Minolta Healthcare Americas, Inc. Laitek Inc. Medical Image Standards Association of Taiwan OFFIS - Institute for Information Technology	Jacqmein, Bill* Jordan, Matt Nitsche, Scott  Zheng, Jia Horn, Robert Garrett, Jeff  Laconti, Michael Sluis, Douglas*  Lien, Chung-Yueh  Eichelberg, Marco*

**OTHERS PRESENT**

PHC co.,Ltd	Watanabe, Katsuya
Philips	Kokx, Ben*
PixelMed Publishing	Clunie, David*
RPS	Strassner, Brett
Stryker Communications	Cochran, Corey*
ByLight Professional Services	Moehrke, John Observer
Philips	Sonar, Nikhilesh Observer
Shimadzu	Horino, Masato Observer

Carolyn Hull, MITA

Zack Hornberger, MITA

**1 CALL TO ORDER AND REVIEW OF ANTI-TRUST RULES AND DICOM PATENT POLICY**

The meeting was called to order. Staff reminded members of the [Guidelines for Conducting NEMA Meetings](#) and Patent Disclosure Policy that are found here: <https://www.dicomstandard.org/patent>.

**2 WELCOME/ATTENDANCE/INTRODUCTION** (5 minutes for 1 &2 -end 9:05)- The group was welcomed to the meeting.

**3 REVIEW AND APPROVE AGENDA** (5 minutes-end 9:10)

The agenda was reviewed and approved.

**4 REVIEW MINUTES** (10 minutes-end 9:20)

The minutes of the previous meeting (5/24) were reviewed and approved.

**5. Hot issues that have come up since the last WG-14 tcon (all)** (10 minutes-end 9:30)-

Discussion of this topic:

<https://healthcaresecreprivacy.blogspot.com/2022/06/restful-search-using-post-vs-get-on-fhir.html>

**6. BCP Update supplement-** (20 minutes)- (Rob Horn/Larry Tarbox)-

- a. **Review comments-** The supplement is moving forward to public comment. Ideally, it will be reviewed during the September WG-6 meeting in Italy. Hopefully, we will get comments in the summer and WG-14 can discuss. Any term defined in an RFC will not be redefined in DICOM.

**7. RFC 9116 (Rob Horn)-** Should we recommend or not a security.txt file for DICOM? This is a formal way to report back to DICOM. WG-14 would likely draft.

**Action:** Discuss at the Executive Committee level.

**8. Executive Order (Rob Horn)-** Did not discuss.

**9. CP 2163– Clipboard Audit Trail-** Did not discuss.

10. CP 2148-Clarify Audit Trail Messages- Did not discuss.

11. CP 2040- FYI- DICOMWeb- Did not discuss.

12. SIIM/Educational update- Did not discuss.

13. Collaborative white papers- Did not discuss.

**Development of shelf-ready content-**

- Concept description:
- Develop a series of short documents – stories – around 700 words that can be posted on DICOM website as FAQ-type info and able to send to have these short pieces at the immediate ready when some issue blows up and media and others request written feedback with an ASAP timeline.
- **Audience:** These are not meant to be technical engineering papers. These are something a product manager or a regulator would read. ~700 words is about the length of an opinion piece, be able to give to media and use in an FAQ.

Topic / Title	Content to be included	Lead
<i>“Why doesn’t DICOM mandate security”</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Possible content: DICOM is not a regulatory body</li> <li>- Not always the best fit solution for a particular organization</li> </ul>	Rob
<i>“How can I tell if my system is exposed?”</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We describe how to do it.</li> </ul>	Hans v on tcon 2019-12-17
<i>“How to turn on encryption and why”</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Different for modalities and PACs</li> </ul>	Hans v on tcon 2019-12-17
<i>“How an administrator could use SHODAN to find leaks”</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- “You’ve heard about all of those exposed DICOM ports, you can find out if any of yours are exposed at your institution. Enter info into Shodan &amp; it will tell you the open ports and IP addresses...”</li> <li>- Can be tricky if have dynamic IPs and such, but at least give them guidance</li> </ul>	<b>Can add these to the DICOM website when complete and discuss with SIIM.</b>
<i>“Hey administrator, have you looked at X / have you considered...?”</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- DICOM is not responsible for the deployment. We don’t want to come across as defensive, rather, explain what the reader can do</li> </ul>	

<p><i>“What to put in an RFP”.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Ensure content doesn’t stray into anti-trust territory. We think we can include content that is general, but helpful.</li> <li>- Maybe just include hyperlinks to sections? Call out the preamble, gives the mindset, intent – this could turn into a nice short piece</li> </ul>	
<p><i>One-Pager Checklist</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Audience: For administrators, including PACS and CISOs.</li> <li>- Include a short, focused list, a one pager/check-list for PACS Admins and only coming from the DICOM side (noting which may be DICOM-only and which may need to be DICOM-MITA jointly developed)</li> <li>- The content and goal are to aim end users at <b>NSA Manageable Network</b> paper</li> <li>- Example: The real basics, Step 1: the real danger is connected to your network, many do not realize that they have holes, know your use case</li> <li>- <b>Status:</b> The WG started a draft on the call (2019-12-17).</li> </ul>	

5 Profile/standardizing a user authentication scheme, re: 2FA.

6 NSA Manageable Network paper

- Status update:

7. New business:

**8. DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETINGS**

The next face to face meeting and any teleconferences of the committees can be proposed.

\*Need to reschedule\*\*Tuesday, July 26, 9:00AM US ET

9. **ADJOURN- 10:02 AM US ET.**

<b><u>NEMALINK CODE</u></b>	09-wg14
<b><u>SUBMITTED BY</u></b>	Hull, Carolyn
<b><u>SUBMITTED ON</u></b>	8/17/22
<b><u>LEGAL REVIEW</u></b>	8/18/22

**UPLOAD LOCATION**

Enter upload location.