



DICOM Secretariat/MITA
 1300 North 17th Street, Suite
 900
 Arlington, VA 22209, USA
<http://www.dicomstandard.org/>
dicom@dicomstandard.org

Minutes

<u>MEETING NAME</u>	WG-14	
<u>MEETING PLACE</u>		
<u>DATE & TIME</u>	Tuesday, October 26, 2021, 9:00 AM - 10:00 AM EDT	
<u>PRESIDING OFFICERS</u>	Lawrence Tarbox, CHAIR, AAPM/Univ. of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Rob Horn, CHAIR, Fairhaven Technologies	
<u>VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT</u>	AAPM/Univ. of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Fairhaven Technologies GE Healthcare JIRA	Tarbox, Lawrence Horn, Robert Nichols, Steven Alt-Voting Yomoda, Akihiro
<u>VOTING MEMBERS ABSENT</u>	Agfa HealthCare Inc. American College of Radiology Canon Medical Systems USA, Inc. Center for Medical Device Standardization Administration,CFDA Hologic Inc. OFFIS - Institute for Information Medical Image Standards Association of Taiwan Siemens Healthcare GmbH Konica Minolta Healthcare Americas, Inc. Technology PHC co.,Ltd	Jacqmein, Bill Jordan, Matt* Nitsche, Scott* Zheng, Jia* Garrett, Jeff* Lien, Chung-Yueh von Stockhausen, Hans-Martin Laconti, Michael Eichelberg, Marco* Watanabe, Katsuya*

Philips
PixelMed Publishing
RPS
Stryker Communications

Kokx, Ben*
Clunie, David*
Strassner, Brett
Cochran, Corey

OTHERS PRESENT

Siemens Healthineers
Philips

Thomas Engelhardt, Observer
Arul Patchi Prasath, Observer

DICOM SECRETARIAT

Carolyn Hull, MITA
Zack Hornberger, MITA

1 CALL TO ORDER AND REVIEW OF ANTI-TRUST RULES AND DICOM PATENT POLICY

The meeting was called to order. Staff reminded members of the [Guidelines for Conducting NEMA Meetings](#) and Patent Disclosure Policy found here: <https://www.dicomstandard.org/patent>.

2 WELCOME/ATTENDANCE/INTRODUCTION (5 minutes for 1 &2 -end 9:05)

3 REVIEW AND APPROVE AGENDA (5 minutes-end 9:10)

The agenda was reviewed and approved.

4 REVIEW MINUTES (10 minutes-end 9:20)

The minutes of the previous meeting were reviewed and approved

- 5. CP 2163-** (Steve Nichols)- Add clipboard to data export- Steve Nichols made some updates to this CP. It will be in the assigned WG-6 folder for the next meeting in November for review.

Reference: <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows-server/administration/windows-commands/tasklist>

- 6. New CP – part 17 audit message** (Steve Nichols)- The WG reviewed this CP. It will reviewed at the Nov. WG-6 meeting in new or incoming folder.

7. Hot issues that have come up since the last WG-14 tcon (all)

- a. HL7 Security group** has examined creating security test tools. This may be something for DICOM to discuss in the future as well. No action at this time.

- 8. Cyber update on website-** Completed.

- 9. BCP 195-** (5 minutes)-

Action: Larry Tarbox to reach out to Shayna to potentially schedule a discussion during WG-6 on whether this would be a CP or Supplement (complete).

10. SIIM/Educational update- (5 minutes)- Write a script. Could make a podcast. The next few meetings they are asking key stakeholders to make presentations. Larry presented as WG-14. Zack H. to also present as MITA.

Podcast- audience at DICOMStandard.org and SIIM.org are mildly different. There is a lot of overlap. Many at SIIM are not as technical as DICOM.

11. Supplement 209- Suggestion for the group to review the security section of this supplement, the new DICOM Conformance Statement. This has passed public comment and members of the task force are still reviewing it.

12. Collaborative white papers-(Discussing during WG-10)

Development of shelf-ready content-

- Concept description:
- Develop a series of short documents – stories – around 700 words that can be posted on DICOM website as FAQ-type info and able to send to have these short pieces at the immediate ready when some issue blows up and media and others request written feedback with an ASAP timeline.
- **Audience:** These are not meant to be technical engineering papers. These are something a product manager or a regulator would read. ~700 words is about the length of an opinion piece, be able to give to media and use in an FAQ.

Topic / Title	Content to be included	Lead
<i>“Why doesn’t DICOM mandate security”</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Possible content: DICOM is not a regulatory body - Not always the best fit solution for a particular organization 	Rob
<i>“How can I tell if my system is exposed?”</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We describe how to do it. 	Hans v on tcon 2019-12-17
<i>“How to turn on encryption and why”</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Different for modalities and PACs 	Hans v on tcon 2019-12-17
<i>“How an administrator could use SHODAN to find leaks”</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - “You’ve heard about all of those exposed DICOM ports, you can find out if any of yours are exposed at your institution. Enter info into Shodan & it will tell you the open ports and IP addresses...” 	Can add these to the DICOM website when complete and

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Can be tricky if have dynamic IPs and such, but at least give them guidance 	discuss with SIIM.
<i>“Hey administrator, have you looked at X / have you considered...?”</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - DICOM is not responsible for the deployment. We don’t want to come across as defensive, rather, explain what the reader can do 	
<i>“What to put in an RFP”.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ensure content doesn’t stray into anti-trust territory. We think we can include content that is general, but helpful. - Maybe just include hyperlinks to sections? Call out the preamble, gives the mindset, intent – this could turn into a nice short piece 	
<i>One-Pager Checklist</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Audience: For administrators, including PACS and CISOs. - Include a short, focused list, a one pager/check-list for PACS Admins and only coming from the DICOM side (noting which may be DICOM-only and which may need to be DICOM-MITA jointly developed) - The content and goal are to aim end users at NSA Manageable Network paper - Example: The real basics, Step 1: the real danger is connected to your network, many do not realize that they have holes, know your use case - Status: The WG started a draft on the call (2019-12-17). 	

5 Profile/standardizing a user authentication scheme, re: 2FA. Did not discuss.

6 NSA Manageable Network paper Did not discuss

- Status update:

7. New business:

8. DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETINGS

The next face to face meeting and any teleconferences of the committees can be proposed.

Tuesday, November 23, 2021, 9:00AM ET – move to Nov. 16

9. ADJOURN-

<u>NEMALINK CODE</u>	09-wg14
<u>SUBMITTED BY</u>	Hull, Carolyn
<u>SUBMITTED ON</u>	11/16/21
<u>LEGAL REVIEW</u>	12/20/21
<u>UPLOAD LOCATION</u>	Enter upload location.