



Konica Minolta Healthcare Americas, Inc.	Laconti, Michael*
OFFIS - Institute for Information Technology	Eichelberg, Marco*
PHC co.,Ltd	Watanabe, Katsuya*
Philips	Kokx, Ben*
PixelMed Publishing	Clunie, David*
RPS	Strassner, Brett*
Siemens Healthcare GmbH	von Stockhausen, Hans-Martin
Stryker Communications	Cochran, Corey*

**OTHERS PRESENT**

<b>ACR</b>	<b>Bialecki, Brian</b>	<b>Observer</b>
<b>By Light Professional Services</b>	<b>Moehrke, John</b>	<b>Observer</b>
<b>Canon Medical Systems Europe BV</b>		<b>Knight, Keith</b>
	Observer	
<b>GE Healthcare</b>	<b>Nichols, Steven</b>	Alt-Voting
<b>Philips</b>	<b>Sonar, Nikhilesh</b>	Observer
Siemens Healthineers	Zhao, Yufan	Observer

**DICOM SECRETARIAT**

Carolyn Hull, MITA  
Zack Hornberger, MITA

**1 CALL TO ORDER AND REVIEW OF ANTI-TRUST RULES AND DICOM PATENT POLICY**

The meeting was called to order. Staff reminded members of the [Guidelines for Conducting NEMA Meetings](#) and Patent Disclosure Policy that are found here: <https://www.dicomstandard.org/patent>.

**2 WELCOME/ATTENDANCE/INTRODUCTION (5 minutes for 1 &2 -end 9:05)**

The attendance was taken.

**3 REVIEW AND APPROVE AGENDA (5 minutes-end 9:10)**

The agenda was reviewed and approved.

**4 REVIEW MINUTES (10 minutes-end 9:20)**

The minutes of the previous meeting reviewed and approved

**5. Supplement 224-**

- a. **Action: Please provide feedback to Brian Bialecki:** All--wants to see if have gathered enough for security for the entry points and see if there is anything in registration that need to add. Carolyn Hull to send out (complete). Need to look at Conformance Section to see what need to point at or insist to be documented. OIM/OAM? Does not discuss Security. Kubernetes does. .

Can send Ppt and most recent version- ask that the group review, contact Brian Bialecki if any questions.

- 6. **CP 2163-** (Steve Nichols)- This CP was assigned a number during the WG-6 meeting. Group discussed the CP. SN to clean definition of copy buffer and add an example and note to have WG-14 review. 2162- review

**7. Hot issues that have come up since the last WG-14 tcon (all)**

- a. **STARTTLS-** add update to FAQs on Cyber. Add to FAQ.

- 8. **FIDO discussion-** <https://fidoalliance.org/fido-alliance-creates-new-onboarding-standard-to-secure-internet-of-things-iot/> - (10 minutes-end 9:50)

**9. Cyber update on website-review**

- 10. **BCP 195-** (5 minutes)

- 11. **SIIM/Educational update-** (5 minutes)

**12. Collaborative white papers**

**Development of shelf-ready content-**

- Concept description:
- Develop a series of short documents – stories – around 700 words that can be posted on DICOM website as FAQ-type info and able to send to have these short pieces at the immediate ready when some issue blows up and media and others request written feedback with an ASAP timeline.
- **Audience:** These are not meant to be technical engineering papers. These are something a product manager or a regulator would read. ~700 words is about the length of an opinion piece, be able to give to media and use in an FAQ.

Topic / Title	Content to be included	Lead
<i>“Why doesn’t DICOM mandate security”</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Possible content: DICOM is not a regulatory body</li> <li>- Not always the best fit solution for a particular organization</li> </ul>	Rob

<p><i>“How can I tell if my system is exposed?”</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We describe how to do it.</li> </ul>	<p>Hans v on tcon 2019-12-17</p>
<p><i>“How to turn on encryption and why”</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Different for modalities and PACs</li> </ul>	<p>Hans v on tcon 2019-12-17</p>
<p><i>“How an administrator could use SHODAN to find leaks”</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- “You’ve heard about all of those exposed DICOM ports, you can find out if any of yours are exposed at your institution. Enter info into Shodan &amp; it will tell you the open ports and IP addresses...”</li> <li>- Can be tricky if have dynamic IPs and such, but at least give them guidance</li> </ul>	<p><b>Can add these to the DICOM website when complete and discuss with SIIM.</b></p>
<p><i>“Hey administrator, have you looked at X / have you considered...?”</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- DICOM is not responsible for the deployment. We don’t want to come across as defensive, rather, explain what the reader can do</li> </ul>	
<p><i>“What to put in an RFP”.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Ensure content doesn’t stray into anti-trust territory. We think we can include content that is general, but helpful.</li> <li>- Maybe just include hyperlinks to sections? Call out the preamble, gives the mindset, intent – this could turn into a nice short piece</li> </ul>	
<p><i>One-Pager Checklist</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Audience: For administrators, including PACS and CISOs.</li> <li>- Include a short, focused list, a one pager/check-list for PACS Admins and only coming from the DICOM side (noting which may be DICOM-only and which may need to be DICOM-MITA jointly developed)</li> <li>- The content and goal are to aim end users at <b>NSA Manageable Network</b> paper</li> <li>- Example: The real basics, Step 1: the real danger is connected to your network, many do not realize that they have holes, know your use case</li> <li>- <b>Status:</b> The WG started a draft on the call (2019-12-17).</li> </ul>	

**5 Profile/standardizing a user authentication scheme, re: 2FA.**

**6 NSA Manageable Network paper**

- **Status update:**

**7. New business:**

**8. DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETINGS**

The next face to face meeting and any teleconferences of the committees can be proposed.

**Tuesday, September 28, 2021, 9:00AM ET**

**9. ADJOURN-**

<b><u>NEMALINK CODE</u></b>	09-wg14
<b><u>SUBMITTED BY</u></b>	Hull, Carolyn
<b><u>SUBMITTED ON</u></b>	12/12/21
<b><u>LEGAL REVIEW</u></b>	12/15/21
<b><u>UPLOAD LOCATION</u></b>	Enter upload location.