Correction Number CP-1620

Log Summary: Clarify that conformance reference requirements do not serve in lieu of a form conformance statement

Name of Standard
PS3.1 2016e

Rationale for Correction:
The requirements with respect to referencing the standard do not eliminate the need to provide a conformance statement, but might be confused in that regard.

Correction Wording:
1.4.4 Conformance

Conformance to the DICOM Standard is stated in terms of Service-Object Pair (SOP) Classes, which represent Services (such as Storage using network, media, or web) operating on types of Information Objects (such as CT or MR images).

SOP Class specifications in the DICOM Standard are only changed in a manner that is intended to be forward and backward compatible for all editions of the Standard. Conformance requirements and conformance claims are therefore referenced to the identifier of the SOP Class, and never referenced to an edition of the Standard.

Each implementation is required to provide a Conformance Statement, in accordance with a consistent pro forma structure, facilitating comparison of products for interoperability.

...
Note

1. Some Application Profiles and Web Services may fully specify the information objects exchanged, while others may require explicit specification of SOP Classes in the references.

2. Examples:
   - “The modality shall be conformant to the DICOM CT Image Storage and MR Image Storage SOP Classes for network exchange as a Service Class User, as specified in DICOM PS3.4: Service Class Specifications.”
   - “The workstation shall be conformant to the DICOM STD-XA1K-DVD Application Profile as a File Set Reader, as specified in DICOM PS3.11: Media Storage Application Profiles.”
   - “The PACS shall be conformant to the DICOM WADO-RS and STOW-RS Web Services as an Origin-server for the SOP Classes listed in Table X, as specified in DICOM PS3.18: Web Services.”

3. Such references are not permitted in lieu of a Conformance Statement for a product. For example, a product that reads or creates DICOM interchange media is required to have a Conformance Statement (as described in PS3.2) that enumerates the Media Application Profiles it implements. A statement in some other format, or a document that describes that a product supports recording of files of a particular SOP Class defined in PS3.4, is not sufficient as an alternative to a Conformance Statement.

Reference may be made to other features of the Standard, but these shall not be construed as DICOM conformance requirements (although they may be conformance requirements for non-DICOM implementation guides or regulations). Following are some examples:

   - “… SOP Instances in accordance with the <name> Information Object Definition, as specified in DICOM PS3.3: Information Object Definitions.”
   - “… Structured Reporting SOP Instances using DICOM Template ID <number and name>, as specified in DICOM PS3.16: Content Mapping Resource.”
   - “… HL7 CDA instances using Template ID <identifier and name>, as specified in DICOM PS3.20: Imaging Reports using HL7 Clinical Document Architecture.”
   - “… using the <name> Transfer Syntax, as specified in DICOM PS3.5: Data Structure and Semantics.”

Note

For example, products producing or receiving SR documents must conform to a SOP Class, such as Enhanced SR; such products may also cite use of Template ID 5200 Echocardiography Procedure Report, but that is not a formal DICOM Conformance assertion. However, a non-DICOM implementation guide, such as the IHE Echocardiography Workflow Profile, may require use of that Template, and an implementation may describe its use of specific Templates in its Conformance Statement.

Since changes to the Standard shall not be cited prior to adoption as Final Text, and since after adoption they are formally part of the Standard, there should be no citations to supplements or correction items for the purpose of describing conformance. Reference to such change documents may be made for describing the historical development of the DICOM Standard.